**FILED** CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7/30/2018 3:14 pm EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LONG ISLAND OFFICE

-----X Docket# : 16-cv-00641-JMA-AKT D'AGUINO, et al.,

Plaintiff,

: U.S. Courthouse - versus -

: Central Islip, New York

GARDA CL ATLANTIC, INC., : September 27, 2017
Defendants :

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR PRE-MOTION CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN M. AZRACK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Plaintiff: Ann Ball, Esq.

Law Office of Ann Ball

357 Veterans Memorial Highway

Commack, NY 11725

<u>For the Defendant</u>: Lisa Griffith, Esq.

Littler Mendelson, P.C.

290 Broadhollow Road

Suite 305

Melville, NY 11747

Transcription Service: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795

laferrara44@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
                            Proceedings
 1
              THE CLERK: Calling case 16-CV-641, D'Aguino et
 2
   al v. Garda CL Atlantic, Inc.
 3
              Counsel, please state your appearances on the
 4
   record.
 5
              MS. BALL: For the plaintiff, Law Office of Ann
 6
   Ball, P.C., by Ann Ball, 357 Veterans Memorial Highway
 7
   Commack, New York 11725.
              MS. GRIFFITH: For the defendant, Lisa Griffith
 8
 9
   of Littler Mendelson, 290 Broadhollow Road, Suite 305,
10
   Melville, New York.
11
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12
              MS. BALL: Good afternoon.
13
              MS. GRIFFITH: Good afternoon.
14
              THE COURT: So today's conference is based on
15
    the pre-motion that you filed on one of the cases but
16
    actually not you want to file -- you want to serve a
17
   motion on the other case, as well.
18
              MS. GRIFFITH:
                             Correct.
19
              THE COURT: Right?
20
              MS. GRIFFITH: Yes.
21
              THE COURT: Now you didn't -- you got a chance
22
    -- you saw the pre-motion letter?
23
              MS. BALL: Well --
24
              THE COURT: From September --
25
              MS. BALL: -- I saw my pre-motion letter.
```

```
3
                            Proceedings
 1
   aware that there was a pre-motion letter sent on the
 2
   other case but I didn't have a chance to take a look at
 3
   that yet.
              THE COURT: Okay. So do you want to just
 4
 5
   briefly go over what's in this one from September 26th?
              MS. GRIFFITH: Correct. Mr. Moser is not here
 6
 7
   anyway. I mean, do you --
 8
              THE COURT: No, I know. So he's the lawyer on
 9
   the other one.
10
              MS. GRIFFITH: Correct.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. So my question is since
12
   we're going to have motion practice, can we get on the
13
   same briefing schedule with it, since you're going to
14
   move in both cases?
15
              MS. GRIFFITH: So it's a little complicated
16
   because this is a motion to dismiss on the complaint for
17
   the Adkins case that was consolidated into the D'Aquino
18
   case.
19
              THE COURT: Right.
              MS. GRIFFITH: The D'Aguino case was further
20
21
   along. We're up to a summary judgment motion stage just
22
    on the motor carrier exemption, if you recall.
23
              THE COURT: Yes, I do recall that.
24
              MS. GRIFFITH: So some of the Adkins plaintiffs
25
   aren't even drivers or messengers, they're in a
```

```
4
                            Proceedings
 1
   different --
 2
              THE COURT: Oh, so it doesn't make sense to --
 3
              MS. GRIFFITH: I think it would apply to a
   majority of the plaintiffs but it's not going to apply to
 4
 5
   all of them. So I would assume that if we get a ruling
 6
   in D'Aguino, we would apply the same ruling in the Adkins
 7
   case on the summary judgment issue.
 8
              THE COURT: Right. But the September 26th
 9
   letter is to move on the D'Aguino case.
10
              MS. GRIFFITH:
                             Right.
11
              THE COURT: Yes?
12
              MS. GRIFFITH: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. And there's already an
14
    application to move on the Garda case.
15
              MS. GRIFFITH: On the Adkins, right. On the --
16
              THE COURT: Well, I mean the --
17
              MS. GRIFFITH: -- on the complaint, correct.
18
              THE COURT: I guess we need two briefing
19
   schedules. So we need a -- what's the briefing schedule
20
   you have in mind on this?
21
              MS. GRIFFITH: We can make a motion within the
22
   next, you know, three weeks would be fine.
23
              MS. BALL: Well, if your Honor please, I would
24
   like to make an application to make a demand for
25
   discovery from the defendant Garda because my problem
```

```
5
                            Proceedings
 1
   with drafting a complaint with particularity for each
 2
   individual plaintiff is that the majority of the
 3
   plaintiffs that came into consult with me came in with
    zero payroll records. They just had a figure as to what
 4
 5
   their hourly was and a general statement of what their
 6
   dates of employment were, but the constant that they all
 7
   had was that they all worked between 40 hours per week
   and 50 hours per week and it was for that incremental 10
 8
   hours per week that they didn't get overtime and that's
 9
10
    the part that we are bringing the claim for.
11
              THE COURT: But isn't it a little late?
              MS. BALL: Well --
12
13
              THE COURT: I mean, this is a 16 case. Isn't
14
    this the 16 case?
15
              MS. BALL: Well, I originally --
16
              MS. GRIFFITH: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: -- if your Honor may recall, I
18
   originally brought this case in state court predominantly
19
    for that reason and then it was removed here to federal
20
   court.
21
              THE COURT: When?
              MS. BALL: And then the next thing I know, I
22
   get the letter for the pre-motion conference. I don't
23
24
    think I even have an answer from them yet.
25
              THE COURT: Because you want to move instead of
```

```
6
                            Proceedings
   answer, right?
1
 2
              MS. GRIFFITH: Yes. And, you know, I would
 3
   object to a discovery order to draft allegations in a
   complaint. You know, she is obligated -- the plaintiffs
 4
 5
   are obligated --
 6
              THE COURT: Yes.
 7
              MS. GRIFFITH: -- to know their claims.
 8
              THE COURT: Yes. We don't -- we're not going
 9
   to have discovery before we're going to have motion
10
   practice on a motion to dismiss. We don't have discovery
11
    in that -- if you survive it, you get discovery.
12
              All right. So you said three weeks, right?
13
              MS. GRIFFITH: Yes.
14
              THE COURT: Three weeks from today. All right.
15
   So three weeks from today is going to give you -- I'll
16
   give you October 20th and then how much time do you want,
17
   Ms. Ball?
18
              MS. BALL: Three weeks also.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. So I will give you until --
20
   that's November 10th and then we'll give you until after
21
    the Thanksgiving holiday, November 29th. All right.
22
              And then I guess we'll have to deal with the
23
   other -- you know, we'll probably do a phone conference
24
    on the other application for the motion, after we get
25
   something from him.
```

```
7
                              Proceedings
               MS. GRIFFITH: That's fine. Okay.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 3
               MS. GRIFFITH: Thank you.
               MS. BALL: Thank you.
 4
                     (Matter concluded)
 5
                          -000-
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

С

8

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic soundrecording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this **30th** day of **July**, 2018.

AAERT CET\*\*D 656 Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.